

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/518,279	COWLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emily Bernhardt	1624

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Emily Bernhardt.

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Hess.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: There are still repetitive phrases present in claim 1, namely for R6/R7 forming rings together. Additionally, in response to the par.one rejection applicant's intent should be further clarified to recite that the additional ring members formed at NR6R7 are only carbon atoms consistent with species made. It was agreed these changes be made by way of an Examiner's Amendment.